

Efficacy and safety of MCLA-129, an EGFR x c-MET bispecific antibody, combined with osimertinib, as first-line therapy or after progression on osimertinib in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)



¹Istituto Nazionale Tumori "Regina Elena", Roma, Italy; ²START Madrid-FJD, Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; ³University of California Irvine Health, Orange, CA, US; ⁴Institut Jules Bordet – Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium; ⁵Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain; ⁶Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Bégin, Saint-Mandé CEDEX, France; ⁷Hopital Cochin, AP-HP, Paris, France; ⁸START Mountain Region, West Valley City, UT, US; ⁹ASST degli Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ¹⁰Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, US; ¹¹NEXT Madrid, Universitary Hospital Quironsalud Madrid, Madrid, Spain; ¹²Hôpital Albert Calmette, Lille, France; ¹⁴Salvatore Siena, Università degli Studi di Milano and Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy; ¹⁵Merus N.V., Utrecht, The Netherlands; ¹⁶Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain.

Declarations of Interests

Mariana Brandão

- Data Safety Monitoring Boards and Advisory Boards: Amgen, Janssen
- Research grants: AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, iTeos, and Roche/GNE
- Meeting attendance: Sanofi, Takeda
- Speakers' bureau, manuscripts, or educational events: BMS, Merus N.V., Janssen, and Takeda



Background

MCLA-129 is a Bispecific Antibody Targeting EGFR and c-MET

- EGFR and c-MET are dysregulated in many tumors, including NSCLC¹
 - EGFRmut NSCLC accounts for an estimated 11.9% of cases globally and 49.1% of cases among Asian patients²
 - MET pathway overexpression occurs in 15% to 70% of NSCLC tumors³
- Osimertinib is a third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor approved as 1L therapy for EGFR ex19del and L858R NSCLC⁴
- MCLA-129 is a bispecific antibody targeting EGFR and c-MET, with multiple mechanisms of action, including inhibition of EGFR and c-MET signaling, and enhanced ADCC⁵
- Here, we present data from an ongoing Phase 1/2 trial⁶ exploring MCLA-129 combined with osimertinib at standard dose in *EGFR*mut NSCLC, either as 1L therapy or after progression on osimertinib (2L+)



MCLA-129 mechanism of action

1L: first-line; 2L+: second-line or higher; ADCC: antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity; ADCP: antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis; cLC: common light chain; c-MET: hepatocyte growth factor receptor; EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor; mutant; NK: natural killer cell; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer.

1. Tsuji T, et al. Oncotarget. 2017;8(42):71805-71816. 2. Melosky B, et al. Mol Diagn & Ther. 2022;26:7-18. 3. Liang H, and Wang M. OncoTargets & Ther. 2020;13:2491-2510. 4. Kishikawa T, et al. Thorac Cancer. 2020;11:935-942. 5. De Gorter DJ, et al. Cancer Res. 2021;81(13 suppl):952. 6. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04868877.

Study Design MCLA-129 Development Program

Ongoing Phase 1/2 global,

open-label clinical trial

EGFRmut NSCLC

nc	lusion	Criteria

- Locally advanced unresectable or metastatic NSCLC
- With sensitizing mutations^a and no prior treatment (1L), or progressed on or after osimertinib (2L+)
- Measurable disease at baseline per RECIST v1.1
- ≥ 18 years of age, ECOG PS of 0 or 1
- Life expectancy ≥ 12 weeks, as per the investigator

Treatment Plan

- MCLA-129 1500 mg IV Q2W until PD or unacceptable toxicity
- Osimertinib 80 mg QD
- Tumor imaging Q8W

Endpoints and Population	Enrollment and Analysis		
Primary endpoint ORR ^b using RECIST v1.1 per investigator assessment	Data cutoff date August 10, 2023	 Primary efficacy analysis population 16 patients in 1L 	
Secondary endpoints DoR, DCR,° PFS, OS, and safety ^d	Enrollment 60 EGERmut NSCI C	 34 patients in 2L+ 9 patients in 2L+ excluded, with < 2 cycles and 	
Primary analysis population \geq 2 MCLA-129 cycles, with baseline and \geq 1 postbaseline scan	 patients 16 patients in 1L 44 patients in 2L + 	 discontinued for reasons not related to PD 1 patient in 2L+ with < 2 cycles ongoing at the data cutoff date was not part of the efficacy analysis population 	

^aSensitizing mutations: EGFR ex19del and L858R. ^bDefined as the proportion of patients with a best confirmed response of CR or PR per RECIST v1.1. ^cDefined as the proportion of patients with CR, PR, or SD as the best overall response per RECIST v1.1 based on investigator assessment. ^dAEs were coded using MedDRA v24.1 and graded using CTCAE v4.03.

AE: adverse event; CR: complete response; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; DCR: disease control rate; DOR: duration of response; ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; IV: intravenous; MedDRA: *Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities*; ORR: overall response rate; OS: overall survival; PD: progressive disease; PFS: progression-free survival; PR: partial response; Q2W: every 2 weeks; Q8W: every 8 weeks; QD: once a day; RECIST: Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD: stable disease.

Patients With EGFRmut NSCLC

Demographics and prior therapy	1L NSCLC N = 16	2L+ NSCLC N = 44
Age, median (range), years	60 (40-80)	61 (35–81)
Gender, male / female, n (%)	6 (38) / 10 (63)	16 (36) / 28 (64)
ECOG PS, 0 / 1 / missing, n (%)	8 (50) / 8 (50) / 0	14 (32) / 28 (64) / 2 (5)
Race, White / Asian / Other, n (%)	7 (44) / 3 (19) / 6 (38)	36 (82) / 4 (9) / 4 (9)
(Prior) smoking or tobacco products use, n (%)	8 (50)	23 (52)
Prior lines of systemic therapy, median (range)	0	1 (1–6)
Number of metastatic sites, median (range)	2 (1–5)	3 (1–6)
Primary tumor location, n (%)		
Lung	16 (100)	43 (98)
Pleural effusion	0	1 (2)
Histology, n (%)		
Adenocarcinoma	16 (100)	43 (98)
Nonsquamous	0	1 (2)

Prior therapy of patients with *EGFR*mut NSCLC

- All 2L+ patients had received osimertinib as prior therapy
- 22 (50%) of these received osimertinib as the only prior therapy
- 16 (36%) 2L+ patients had received prior chemotherapy

MCLA-129 Activity in 1L EGFRmut NSCLC

^aExcludes 1 patient who died without postbaseline scan. ^bEGFR mutation status (ex19del or L858R) provided by site at screening that is not confirmed by ctDNA NGS testing. CI: confidence interval; DCR: disease control rate; IHC: immunohistochemistry; NA: not available; NE: not evaluable; ORR: overall response rate; PD: progressive disease; PR: partial response; SD: stable disease; uPR: unconfirmed partial response (initial assessment of PR for a patient on treatment).

*ORR is the proportion of confirmed and unconfirmed responders out of the primary efficacy analysis population in 1L NSCLC patients with EGFR mutation; patients with RECIST progression or study discontinuation after initial CR/PR are not considered as responders. **Censored.

MCLA-129 Activity in 2L+ EGFRmut NSCLC

SINGAPORE

^aExcludes 11 patients: 10 who received <2 cycles of treatment, 9 of these discontinued treatment due to reasons other than PD, 1 was ongoing at the data cutoff date;
 1 patient with PD without all baseline target lesion diameters reported at the time of data cutoff date. ^bBaseline EGFR mutation status not confirmed by ctDNA NGS testing.
 ASIA *ORR is the proportion of confirmed and unconfirmed responders out of the primary efficacy analysis population in 2L+ NSCLC patients with EGFR mutation; patients with RECIST progression or study discontinuation after initial CR/PR are not considered as responders.
 **Censored. TKI resistance mechanisms: EGFR-related, including T790M, C797S, S768I, EGFR^{amp}; MET-related, including MET^{amp}

MCLA-129 + osimertinib Safety Profile

- 60^a EGFRmut NSCLC patients treated with MCLA-129 1500 mg IV Q2W + osimertinib 80 mg QD
- Infusion-related reactions (IRRs)^b observed in 52 (87%) patients, 7 (12%) of whom experienced an AE of Gr ≥ 3
- 14 (23%) patients discontinued the study treatment due to TEAEs
- 13 (22%) patients had treatment-related ILD / pneumonitis (4 patients with Gr1, 2 with Gr2, 4 with Gr3, and 3 with Gr5)
 - Evaluation of ILD cases is ongoing
- Venous thromboembolism (VTE, composite term) observed in 14 (23%, 7% Gr ≥ 3) patients, 3 (5%) events were treatment-related

	Most frequent TEAEs (>10%)					
	Treatment-related TEAEs n (%)		TEAEs irrespective of causality n (%)			
	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3		
≥1 TEAE	60 (100)	23 (38)	60 (100)	38 (63)		
Nausea	21 (35)	0	27 (45)	0		
Dyspnea	12 (20)	0	18 (30)	0		
Hypotension	16 (27)	1 (2)	17 (28)	1 (2)		
Rash	16 (27)	1 (2)	17 (28)	1 (2)		
Asthenia	6 (10)	0	15 (25)	0		
Cough	8 (13)	0	15 (25)	0		
Flushing	15 (25)	2 (3)	15 (25)	2 (3)		
Vomiting	10 (17)	0	15 (25)	0		
Decreased appetite	9 (15)	0	14 (23)	0		
Dermatitis acneiform	13 (22)	1 (2)	14 (23)	1 (2)		
ILD / pneumonitis	13 (22)	7 (12)	14 (23)	8 ^c (13)		
Diarrhea	10 (17)	0	13 (22)	0		
Headache	6 (10)	0	12 (20)	1 (2)		
Paronychia	8 (13)	0	12 (20)	0		
Thrombocytopenia	9 (15)	0	10 (17)	0		
Anemia	2 (3)	0	9 (15)	1 (2)		
Mucosal inflammation	6 (10)	0	9 (15)	0		
Stomatitis	7 (12)	1 (2)	9 (15)	1 (2)		
Fatigue	4 (7)	0	8 (13)	0		
Pyrexia	4 (7)	0	8 (13)	0		
Abdominal pain	4 (7)	1 (2)	7 (12)	1 (2)		
Constipation	4 (7)	0	7 (12)	0		

alncludes 1L and 2L+ patients. ^bComposite term covering preferred terms (terms reported in ≥ 10% of patients are nausea, flushing, hypotension, vomiting, dyspnea, and cough) considered by the investigator to be infusion-related reactions occurring within 24 hours of infusion start. ^c1 case of obstructive pneumonitis grade 3, not drug related. Gr: grade; ILD: interstitial lung disease; TEAE: treatment-emergent adverse event.

SINGAPORE EMOASIA Conclusions

- MCLA-129 in combination with osimertinib demonstrated notable antitumor activity, including durable responses and prolonged stable disease in:
 - Treatment-naive NSCLC patients with *EGFR*mut (sensitizing mutations)
 - NSCLC patients previously treated with osimertinib
- 50% of patients were on treatment at the data cutoff date
- Safety profile shows AEs associated with EGFR and c-MET inhibition
 - IRRs, VTE and ILD / pneumonitis were observed
- Further investigation of MCLA-129 in combination with osimertinib, chemotherapy, or other therapies is planned

Acknowledgments

- We thank all the patients who volunteered to participate in this study, their families and caregivers; all the investigators, nurses, and study site personnel involved in data collection/analysis
- Medical writing support, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Alex Pilote, PhD, of Lumanity Communications Inc., funded by Merus N.V., in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP) guidelines

European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Via Ginevra 4, CH-6900 Lugano T. +41 (0)91 973 19 00 esmo@esmo.org

esmo.org